LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

Page missing

Rama Varmha Tampuran.—Dr. T. Verghese was alone there at that time.

Mr. T. A. Ramachandra Ayyar.—Was there any Civil Surgeon who had more service than Dr. T. Verghese?

Rama Varmha Tampuran.—There were two.

Mr. T. A. Ramachandra Ayyar.—Who were they?

Rama Varmha Tampuran.—Dr. Rao and Dr. Puduval.

Mr. T. A. Ramachandra Ayyar.—I mean in the General Hospital.

Rama Varmha Tampuran.—In the General Hospital there was only one at that time, Dr. T. Verghese.

Mr. T. A. Ramachandra Ayyar.—Where was Mr. Joseph at that time?

Rama Varmha Tampuran.—He was only an acting Civil Surgeon.

Mr. T. A. Ramachandra Ayyar.—Was he in the General Hospital?

Rama Varmha Tampuran.—He was.

Mr. T. A. Ramachandra Ayyar.—Had he more service than Dr. Verghese?

Rama Varmha Tampuran.—He has.

Mr. T. A. Ramachandra Ayyar.—Then why was he not preferred?

Rama Varmha Tampuran.—He is junior to Dr. Verghese.

Mr. T. A. Ramachandra Ayyar.—How?

Rama Varmha Tampuran.—He is only an Assistant Civil Surgeon. Dr. Tharian is the Civil Surgeon.

Mr. T. A. Ramachandra Ayyar.—Had he more qualifications?

Rama Varmha Tampuran.—No. I have explained already.

Ex-Diwan's tour to Bangalore.

10. **Mr. T. A. Ramachandra Ayyar.**—Will the Government be pleased to state.—

(a) the object of the last tour of the Diwan to Bangalore;

(b) the number of days he stayed there;

(c) what all important works were transacted by him during his stay there?

Rama Varmha Tampuran.—(a) To attend an informal discussion of the subjects of interest to Indian States in connection with the Round Table Conference with Sir Mirza Ismail, Diwan of Mysore, who represents the South Indian States in the Conference, and others.

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

(b) Three days, 19th, 20th and 21st August 1930.

(c) He took part in the informal discussions on all the three days.

Sale of certain properties in Edavanakad.

11. Mr. C. A. Kunjunni Raja.—Will the Government be pleased to place on the table of the House.—

(1) the report of the Parvathyam requesting attachment and sale of the property for default;

(2) orders on the same;

(3) the demand notice under section 26 of the Revenue Recovery Regulation and its service and the attachment notice and its public proclamation on the land and the Gazette Notification after the attachment;

(4) the notices of the intended sale under section 38 of the Revenue Recovery Regulation and their services;

(5) the yadast of sale;

(6) copy of the petition to set aside the sale with regard to the sale of properties belonging to "കൊകപ്പാടത്ത അഹമ്മതുവീ ടൻ" referred to in the answer to question No. 559 of the Legislative Session of 1104; and to state

(i) a. who conducted the sale,

b. who were the officers present at the time, and c. where was the sale conducted;

(ii) what were the orders of the Diwan Peishkar in the matter of setting aside the sale;

(iii) under what section of the Revenue Recovery Regulation was the sale set aside;

(iv) a. what were the arrears of tax due before coercive steps were initiated by the Village Parvathyam;

b. what was the date on which the amount of arrears was remitted;

and c. to whom was the amount paid;

(v) a. whether the certificate of sale was issued by the Diwan Peishkar,

and b. if so, when;

c. when was the proclamation of the purchase of land published in the Gazette?

Mr. T. M. Krishna Menon.—(1) The report of the Parvathyam is on the demand notice itself, which is placed on the Council table.

(2) Orders on the report are also on the demand notice.

(3) The demand notice and the attachment notice duly published are placed on the Council table. The attachment notice was published in the Gazette dated 8th Makaram 1103.

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly